

3202

Form Letter B 108-114

Stephen Hoffman

From: Heidi Kreger <hmm5047@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 3, 2019 8:39 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: Comments on Dept. of Labor and Industry Overtime Regulation #12-106

Dear The Review Commission,

As a small business owner in Pennsylvania, I am writing in opposition to the Department of Labor and Industry's proposal to dramatically increase the salary threshold for employee overtime eligibility. As you may be aware, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission asked the Wolf Administration to address concerns that were brought up by businesses, nonprofits, and colleges. The revised rule is only moderately different from the original rule.

The proposed increase in the state threshold from an annual salary of \$23,660 to \$45,500 over three years will be especially hard on small businesses like mine and their employees. It will limit the flexibility we can offer them now with hours, benefits, and pay. It will affect the morale of employees at my business by forcing them back to punching a time clock, which will also impact my business' quality, customer service, and reputation.

Further, the proposal seriously underestimates the costs to comply because it doesn't consider the higher costs to small businesses of state mandates like this. Higher costs will force businesses like mine to cut back the hours and pay of the very employees the change is intended to help.

The proposal to automatically increase the threshold every three years after it takes effect will make employee salary costs uncertain for businesses like mine and make it virtually impossible to plan, especially in an economic downturn.

Small businesses can't afford the loss of flexibility and increased cost of such a substantial increase in the overtime threshold. It will ultimately reduce benefits and career opportunities for my employees. The Department should reconsider this plan, given the dire impact it will have on businesses like mine and their employees. If not, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission should disapprove the rule and ask the Department to revise it.

Sincerely,

Heidi Kreger
5734 Route 414
Morris, PA 16938
hmm5047@gmail.com

RECEIVED
IRRC
2019 NOV -4 A 9:25

From: William Miller <hometownautomotive.llc@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 1, 2019 8:56 AM
To: Smolock, Bryan
Subject: [External] Comments on Dept. of Labor and Industry Overtime Regulation #12-106

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Director Smolock,

As a small business owner in Pennsylvania, I am writing in opposition to the Department of Labor and Industry's proposal to dramatically increase the salary threshold for employee overtime eligibility. As you may be aware, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission asked the Wolf Administration to address concerns that were brought up by businesses, nonprofits, and colleges. The revised rule is only moderately different from the original rule.

The proposed increase in the state threshold from an annual salary of \$23,660 to \$45,500 over three years will be especially hard on small businesses like mine and their employees. It will limit the flexibility we can offer them now with hours, benefits, and pay. It will affect the morale of employees at my business by forcing them back to punching a time clock, which will also impact my business' quality, customer service, and reputation.

Further, the proposal seriously underestimates the costs to comply because it doesn't consider the higher costs to small businesses of state mandates like this. Higher costs will force businesses like mine to cut back the hours and pay of the very employees the change is intended to help.

The proposal to automatically increase the threshold every three years after it takes effect will make employee salary costs uncertain for businesses like mine and make it virtually impossible to plan, especially in an economic downturn.

Small businesses can't afford the loss of flexibility and increased cost of such a substantial increase in the overtime threshold. It will ultimately reduce benefits and career opportunities for my employees. The Department should reconsider this plan, given the dire impact it will have on businesses like mine and their employees. If not, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission should disapprove the rule and ask the Department to revise it.

Sincerely,

William Miller
1011 Main St
Newfoundland, PA 18445
hometownautomotive.llc@gmail.com

From: Christine Greenawalt <greenawaltexcinc@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 1, 2019 10:12 AM
To: Smolock, Bryan
Subject: [External] Comments on Dept. of Labor and Industry Overtime Regulation #12-106

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Director Smolock,

As a small business owner in Pennsylvania, I am writing in opposition to the Department of Labor and Industry's proposal to dramatically increase the salary threshold for employee overtime eligibility. As you may be aware, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission asked the Wolf Administration to address concerns that were brought up by businesses, nonprofits, and colleges. The revised rule is only moderately different from the original rule.

The proposed increase in the state threshold from an annual salary of \$23,660 to \$45,500 over three years will be especially hard on small businesses like mine and their employees. It will limit the flexibility we can offer them now with hours, benefits, and pay. It will affect the morale of employees at my business by forcing them back to punching a time clock, which will also impact my business' quality, customer service, and reputation.

Further, the proposal seriously underestimates the costs to comply because it doesn't consider the higher costs to small businesses of state mandates like this. Higher costs will force businesses like mine to cut back the hours and pay of the very employees the change is intended to help.

The proposal to automatically increase the threshold every three years after it takes effect will make employee salary costs uncertain for businesses like mine and make it virtually impossible to plan, especially in an economic downturn.

Small businesses can't afford the loss of flexibility and increased cost of such a substantial increase in the overtime threshold. It will ultimately reduce benefits and career opportunities for my employees. The Department should reconsider this plan, given the dire impact it will have on businesses like mine and their employees. If not, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission should disapprove the rule and ask the Department to revise it.

Sincerely,

Christine Greenawalt
45 Miller Rd
Transfer, PA 16154
greenawaltexcinc@gmail.com

From: Allen Yard, PLS <allen@areasurveying.net>
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 4:30 PM
To: Smolock, Bryan
Subject: [External] Comments on Dept. of Labor and Industry Overtime Regulation #12-106

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Director Smolock,

As a small business owner in Pennsylvania, I am writing in opposition to the Department of Labor and Industry's proposal to dramatically increase the salary threshold for employee overtime eligibility. As you may be aware, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission asked the Wolf Administration to address concerns that were brought up by businesses, nonprofits, and colleges. The revised rule is only moderately different from the original rule.

The proposed increase in the state threshold from an annual salary of \$23,660 to \$45,500 over three years will be especially hard on small businesses and their employees. It will limit the flexibility we can offer them now with hours, benefits, and pay. It will affect the morale of employees at businesses by forcing them back to punching a time clock, which will also impact business' quality, customer service, and reputation.

Further, the proposal seriously underestimates the costs to comply because it doesn't consider the higher costs to small businesses of state mandates like this. Higher costs will force businesses like mine to cut back the hours and pay of the very employees the change is intended to help.

The proposal to automatically increase the threshold every three years after it takes effect will make employee salary costs uncertain for businesses like mine and make it virtually impossible to plan, especially in an economic downturn.

Small businesses can't afford the loss of flexibility and increased cost of such a substantial increase in the overtime threshold. It will ultimately reduce benefits and career opportunities for my employees. The Department should reconsider this plan, given the dire impact it will have on businesses like mine and their employees. If not, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission should disapprove the rule and ask the Department to revise it.

Sincerely,

Allen Yard
14223 Middleton Rd
Waterford, PA 16441
allen@areasurveying.net

From: Diana Frymyer <diana@dianaslimo.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 6:05 PM
To: Smolock, Bryan
Subject: [External] Comments on Dept. of Labor and Industry Overtime Regulation #12-106

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Director Smolock,

As a small business owner in Pennsylvania, I am writing in opposition to the Department of Labor and Industry's proposal to dramatically increase the salary threshold for employee overtime eligibility. As you may be aware, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission asked the Wolf Administration to address concerns that were brought up by businesses, nonprofits, and colleges. The revised rule is only moderately different from the original rule.

The proposed increase in the state threshold from an annual salary of \$23,660 to \$45,500 over three years will be especially hard on small businesses like mine and their employees. It will limit the flexibility we can offer them now with hours, benefits, and pay. It will affect the morale of employees at my business by forcing them back to punching a time clock, which will also impact my business' quality, customer service, and reputation.

Further, the proposal seriously underestimates the costs to comply because it doesn't consider the higher costs to small businesses of state mandates like this. Higher costs will force businesses like mine to cut back the hours and pay of the very employees the change is intended to help.

The proposal to automatically increase the threshold every three years after it takes effect will make employee salary costs uncertain for businesses like mine and make it virtually impossible to plan, especially in an economic downturn.

Small businesses can't afford the loss of flexibility and increased cost of such a substantial increase in the overtime threshold. It will ultimately reduce benefits and career opportunities for my employees. The Department should reconsider this plan, given the dire impact it will have on businesses like mine and their employees. If not, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission should disapprove the rule and ask the Department to revise it.

Sincerely,

Diana Frymyer
1445 Sells Station Rd
Littlestown, PA 17340
diana@dianaslimo.com

From: Nicole Sechrist <flipsalonandspallc@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 6:46 PM
To: Smolock, Bryan
Subject: [External] Comments on Dept. of Labor and Industry Overtime Regulation #12-106

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Director Smolock,

As a small business owner in Pennsylvania, I am writing in opposition to the Department of Labor and Industry's proposal to dramatically increase the salary threshold for employee overtime eligibility. As you may be aware, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission asked the Wolf Administration to address concerns that were brought up by businesses, nonprofits, and colleges. The revised rule is only moderately different from the original rule.

The proposed increase in the state threshold from an annual salary of \$23,660 to \$45,500 over three years will be especially hard on small businesses like mine and their employees. It will limit the flexibility we can offer them now with hours, benefits, and pay. It will affect the morale of employees at my business by forcing them back to punching a time clock, which will also impact my business' quality, customer service, and reputation.

Further, the proposal seriously underestimates the costs to comply because it doesn't consider the higher costs to small businesses of state mandates like this. Higher costs will force businesses like mine to cut back the hours and pay of the very employees the change is intended to help.

The proposal to automatically increase the threshold every three years after it takes effect will make employee salary costs uncertain for businesses like mine and make it virtually impossible to plan, especially in an economic downturn.

Small businesses can't afford the loss of flexibility and increased cost of such a substantial increase in the overtime threshold. It will ultimately reduce benefits and career opportunities for my employees. The Department should reconsider this plan, given the dire impact it will have on businesses like mine and their employees. If not, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission should disapprove the rule and ask the Department to revise it.

Sincerely,

Nicole Sechrist
9 University Ave
Selinsgrove, PA 17870
flipsalonandspallc@gmail.com

From: Stephen Miller <scmiller314@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 9:15 PM
To: Smolock, Bryan
Subject: [External] Comments on Dept. of Labor and Industry Overtime Regulation #12-106

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Director Smolock,

As a small business owner in Pennsylvania, I am writing in opposition to the Department of Labor and Industry's proposal to dramatically increase the salary threshold for employee overtime eligibility. As you may be aware, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission asked the Wolf Administration to address concerns that were brought up by businesses, nonprofits, and colleges. The revised rule is only moderately different from the original rule.

The proposed increase in the state threshold from an annual salary of \$23,660 to \$45,500 over three years will be especially hard on small businesses like mine and their employees. It will limit the flexibility we can offer them now with hours, benefits, and pay. It will affect the morale of employees at my business by forcing them back to punching a time clock, which will also impact my business' quality, customer service, and reputation.

Further, the proposal seriously underestimates the costs to comply because it doesn't consider the higher costs to small businesses of state mandates like this. Higher costs will force businesses like mine to cut back the hours and pay of the very employees the change is intended to help.

The proposal to automatically increase the threshold every three years after it takes effect will make employee salary costs uncertain for businesses like mine and make it virtually impossible to plan, especially in an economic downturn.

Small businesses can't afford the loss of flexibility and increased cost of such a substantial increase in the overtime threshold. It will ultimately reduce benefits and career opportunities for my employees. The Department should reconsider this plan, given the dire impact it will have on businesses like mine and their employees. If not, the Independent Regulatory Review Commission should disapprove the rule and ask the Department to revise it.

Sincerely,

Stephen Miller
2139 Teaberry Ln
Lock Haven, PA 17745
scmiller314@comcast.net